# NEW GENERALIZED INEQUALITIES USING ARBITRARY OPERATOR MEANS AND THEIR DUAL

LEILA NASIRI $^{1\ast}$  AND MOJTABA BAKHERAD $^2$ 

ABSTRACT. In this article, we present some operator inequalities via arbitrary operator means and unital positive linear maps. For instance, we show that if  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$  are two positive invertible operators such that  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$  and  $\sigma$  is an arbitrary operator mean, then

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A),$$

where  $\sigma^{\perp}$  is dual  $\sigma$ ,  $p \geq 0$  and  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the classical Kantorovich constant. We also generalize the above inequality for two arbitrary means  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  which lie between  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{\perp}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this paper,  $\mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $(\mathscr{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ . I stands for the identity operator. A self-adjoint operator  $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$  is said to be positive if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathscr{H}$ , and in this case we write  $A \geq 0$ . For self-adjoint operators  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ , the order relation  $A \leq B$  means that  $B - A \geq 0$ . A linear map  $\Phi$  is positive if  $\Phi(A) \geq 0$  whenever  $A \geq 0$ . It is said to be unital provided that it preserves the identity operator, that is,  $\Phi(I) = I$ .

The axiomatic theory for pairs of positive operators has been developed by Kubo and Ando [9].

If  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$  be two positive invertible operators, then the  $\nu$ -weighted arithmetic mean, geometric mean and harmonic mean of A and B denoted by  $A\nabla_{\nu}B$ ,  $A\sharp_{\nu}B$   $A!_{\nu}B$ , respectively, are defined follows as

$$A\nabla_{\nu}B = \nu A + (1-\nu)B, \qquad A\sharp_{\nu}B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\nu}A^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$A!_{\nu}B = (\nu A^{-1} + (1 - \nu)B^{-1})^{-1},$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L07, 47A63, 47A30, 16A60.

Key words and phrases. Operator means, Kantorovich's constant, Positive linear maps.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

respectively. When  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , we write  $A\nabla B$ ,  $A \sharp B$  and A!B for the arithmetic mean, geometric mean and harmonic mean, respectively. The  $\nu$ -weighted arithmeticgeometric (AM-GM) operator inequality, which is proved in [15] says that if  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$  are two positive operators and  $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ , then  $A \sharp_{\nu} B \leq A \nabla_{\nu} B$ . For a particular case, when  $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ , we obtain the AM-GM operator inequality

$$A \sharp B \le \frac{A+B}{2}.\tag{1.1}$$

For two positive operators  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the Löwner–Heinz inequality states that, if  $A \leq B$ , then

$$A^p \le B^p, \qquad (0 \le p \le 1). \tag{1.2}$$

In general (1.2) is not true for p > 1.

Lin [12, Theorem 2.1] showed a squaring of a reverse of (1.1), namely that the inequality

$$\Phi^2\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \left(\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}\right)^2 \Phi^2(A\sharp B) \tag{1.3}$$

as well as

$$\Phi^2\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \left(\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}\right)^2 (\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B))^2 \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\Phi$  is a positive unital linear map.

The Löwner–Heinz inequality and two inequalities (1.3) and (1.4) follow that for 0 ,

$$\Phi^p\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \left(\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}\right)^p \Phi^p(A\sharp B) \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\Phi^p\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \left(\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}\right)^p (\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B))^p \tag{1.6}$$

In [6], the authors showed that inequalities (1.5) and (1.6) for  $p \ge 2$  hold.

For more improvements and refinements on the above inequalities see [13, 14] and references therein.

Let  $\sigma$  be an operator mean with the representing function f. The operator mean with the representing function  $\frac{t}{f(t)}$  is called the dual of  $\sigma$  and denoted by  $\sigma^{\perp}$ . For  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$ ,

$$A\sigma^{\perp}B = (B^{-1}\sigma A^{-1})^{-1}.$$

It is trivial that for two invertible operators  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H}), A\nabla^{\perp}B = A!B$  and  $A!B \leq A \sharp B$ .

Let  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M, \Phi$  be a positive unital linear map and  $\sigma, \tau$  be two arbitrary means between the harmonic and arithmetic means. In [7], the authors obtained the following inequality:

$$\Phi^2(A\sigma B) \le K^2(h)\Phi^2(A\tau B),\tag{1.7}$$

where  $K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}$  with  $h = \frac{M}{m}$  is the Kantorovich constant. The authors in [5] generalized inequality (1.7) for the higher powers as follows:

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(A\tau B),\tag{1.8}$$

where p > 0.

Motivated by the above discussion, in this paper we first obtain the following inequality:

$$\Phi^2(A\sigma B) \le K^2(h)\Phi^2(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \tag{1.9}$$

where  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M, \sigma$  is an arbitrary mean and  $\sigma^{\perp}$  is its dual and  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the Kantorovich constant. Then, we generalize inequality (1.9) for two arbitrary means  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  between  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{\perp}$ .

## 2. Main results

To obtain the main results we need to recall the following Lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** [3](Choi's inequality) Let  $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$  be positive and  $\Phi$  be a positive unital linear map. Then

$$\Phi(A)^{-1} \le \Phi(A^{-1}).$$
(2.1)

**Lemma 2.2.** [15] Suppose that  $0 < m \le A \le M$ . Then

$$A + MmA^{-1} \le M + m.$$

Lemma 2.3. [4, 1, 2] Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$  be positive and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then (i)  $||AB|| \leq \frac{1}{4} ||A + B||^2$ . (ii) If  $\lambda > 1$ , then  $||A^{\lambda} + B^{\lambda}|| \leq ||(A + B)^{\lambda}||$ . (iii)  $A \leq \lambda B$  if and only if  $||A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}|| \leq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . **Lemma 2.4.** [8] Let  $X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $||X|| \leq t$  if and only if

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} tI & X\\ X^* & tI \end{array}\right) \ge 0.$$

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $0 < m \le A, B \le M$  such that 0 < m < M and  $\sigma$  be an arbitrary mean. Then

$$\Phi^2(A\sigma B) \le K^2(h)\Phi^2(B\sigma^{\perp}A), \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $\sigma^{\perp}$  is dual  $\sigma$  and  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the Kantorovich constant.

*Proof.* It follows from  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$  that  $(M - A)(m - A)A^{-1} \leq 0$  and  $(M - B)(m - B)B^{-1} \leq 0$ . Therefore

$$A + MmA^{-1} \le M + m$$
 and  $B + MmB^{-1} \le M + m$ .

Now, the subadditivity and monotonicity properties of the operator mean to conclude that

$$A\sigma B + Mm(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1}) \le (A + MmA^{-1})\sigma(B + MmB^{-1})$$
$$\le (M + m)\sigma(M + m)$$
$$= M + m.$$

Using the linearity and positivity of  $\Phi$  and the latter inequality, we get

$$\Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1}) \le M + m.$$
(2.3)

Applying two inequalities (2.1) and (2.3), respectively, we have

$$\Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi^{-1}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \le \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(B\sigma^{\perp}A)^{-1}$$
$$\le \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1})$$
$$\le M + m.$$

By Lemma 2.3(i) and the latter inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi(A\sigma B)Mm\Phi^{-1}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(B\sigma^{\perp}A)^{-1} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1}) \\ &\leq M + m. \end{split}$$

This proves the assertion as desired.

Remark 2.6. In special case, when  $\sigma = \nabla$ , since  $\sigma^{\perp} = !$  and  $! \leq \sharp$ , inequality (2.2) becomes inequality (1.3).

**Corollary 2.7.** Let  $0 < m \le A, B \le M$  such that  $0 < m < M, \sigma$  be an arbitrary mean and let  $p \ge 0$ . Then

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A), \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\sigma^{\perp}$  is dual  $\sigma$  and  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the Kantorovich constant.

*Proof.* If  $0 \le p \le 2$ , then  $0 \le \frac{p}{2} \le 1$ . Applying inequality (2.2) we obtain the desired result. If p > 2, then

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}(A\sigma B)M^{\frac{p}{2}}m^{\frac{p}{2}}\Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}(A\sigma B) + M^{\frac{p}{2}}m^{\frac{p}{2}}\Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\|^{2} \text{ (by Lemma 2.3 (i))} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi^{-1}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\|^{p} \text{ (by Lemma 2.3 (ii))} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi((B\sigma^{\perp}A))^{-1} \right\|^{p} \text{ (by (2.1))} \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(A^{-1}\sigma^{\perp}B^{-1}) \right\|^{p} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}(M+m)^{p} \text{ (by inequality (2.3)).} \end{split}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3(iii) we have

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A).$$

*Remark* 2.8. Using the same reason as in Remark 2.6 says that inequality (2.4) is a generalization of inequality (1.5) which is presented in [6].

In the following theorem, we generalize inequality (1.7).

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M, \sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be two arbitrary means which lie between  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{\perp}$  and let  $p \geq 0$ . Then for every positive unital linear map  $\Phi$ ,

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma_1 A), \tag{2.5}$$

where  $\sigma^{\perp}$  is dual  $\sigma$  and  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the Kantorovich constant.

*Proof.* To prove (2.5), let  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma^{\perp}$  and  $\sigma_2 \leq \sigma$ . Therefore,

$$\Phi(A\sigma_2 B) + Mm\Phi^{-1}(B\sigma_1 A) \leq \Phi(A\sigma_2 B) + Mm\Phi(B\sigma_1 A)^{-1} \text{ (by (2.1))}$$
$$\leq \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(B\sigma^{\perp} A)^{-1}$$
$$= \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1})$$
$$\leq M + m \text{ (by (2.3))}.$$

Using the same ideas as used in the proof of Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.7, one can obtain the desired result.  $\hfill \Box$ 

To find a better bound than the obtained bound in inequality (2.4), we need to state the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.10.** [12] Let  $0 < m \le A, B \le M$  and  $\sigma$  be an arbitrary mean. Then for every positive unital linear map  $\Phi$ 

$$\|\Phi^2(A\sigma B) + M^2 m^2 \Phi^n((A\sigma B)^{-1})\| \le M^2 + m^2.$$

**Theorem 2.11.** Let  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M, \sigma$  be an arbitrary mean and  $p \geq 4$ . Then

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le \left(\frac{K(h)(M^2 + m^2)}{2^{\frac{4}{p}}Mm}\right)^p \Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A),$$
(2.6)

where  $\sigma^{\perp}$  is dual  $\sigma$  and  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the Kantorovich constant.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.5 we have

$$\Phi^{-2}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \le K^2(h)\Phi^{-2}(A\sigma B).$$
(2.7)

A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} (A\sigma B) M^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (B\sigma^{\perp} A) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K^{\frac{p}{4}}(h) \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} (A\sigma B) + \left( \frac{M^2 m^2}{K(h)} \right)^{\frac{p}{4}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (B\sigma^{\perp} A) \right\|^2 (\text{ by Lemmas 2.3(i) }) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K \Phi^2 (A\sigma B) + \frac{M^2 m^2}{K(h)} \Phi^{-2} (B\sigma^{\perp} A) \right\|^{\frac{p}{2}} (\text{ by Lemmas 2.3(ii) }) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K(h) \Phi^2 (A\sigma B) + M^2 m^2 K(h) \Phi^{-2} (A\sigma B) \right\|^{\frac{p}{2}} (\text{ by (2.7) }) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h) \left\| \Phi^2 (A\sigma B) + M^2 m^2 \Phi^2 (A\sigma B)^{-1} \right\|^{\frac{p}{2}} (\text{ by (2.1)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left( K(h) \left( M^2 + m^2 \right) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} (\text{ by Lemma 2.10}). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}(A\sigma B) \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\| \le \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{K(h) \left( M^2 + m^2 \right)}{Mm} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

The latter relation is equivalent to

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le \left(\frac{K(h)\left(M^2 + m^2\right)}{2^{\frac{4}{p}}Mm}\right)^p \Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A).$$

This proves the desired result.

Remark 2.12. When  $p \ge 4$ , the derived result in Theorem 2.11 is tighter than inequality (2.4).

Moreover, we show that Theorem 2.11 holds for  $0 \le p \le 4$ .

**Corollary 2.13.** Let  $0 < m \le A, B \le M, \sigma$  be an arbitrary mean and let  $0 \le p \le 4$ . Then

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le \left(\frac{K(h)\left(M^2 + m^2\right)}{2Mm}\right)^p \Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A),$$

where  $\sigma^{\perp}$  is dual  $\sigma$  and  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4MM}$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.5 we have

$$\Phi^4(A\sigma B) \le \left(\frac{K(h)\left(M^2 + m^2\right)}{2Mm}\right)^4 \Phi^4(B\sigma^{\perp}A).$$

If  $0 \le p \le 4$ , then  $0 \le \frac{p}{4} \le 1$ . With the aid of the latter inequality and inequality (1.2), we conclude the desired inequality.

**Theorem 2.14.** Let  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M, \sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  be two arbitrary means between  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{\perp}, 1 < \alpha \leq 2$  and  $p \geq 2\alpha$ . Then for every positive unital linear map  $\Phi$ 

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) \le \frac{\left(K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h)(M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha})\right)^{\frac{2p}{\alpha}}}{16M^p m^p} \Phi^p(B\sigma_1 A)$$
(2.8)

where  $\sigma^{\perp}$  is dual  $\sigma$  and  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the Kantorovich constant.

*Proof.* At once from inequality (2.5) follows that for  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ 

$$\Phi^{-\alpha}(B\sigma_1 A) \le K^{\alpha}(h)\Phi^{-\alpha}(A\sigma_2 B).$$
(2.9)

Using the fact that  $0 < m \le A, B \le M$ , it deduces that  $0 < m \le A\sigma_2B \le M$ . Now, the linearity property  $\Phi$  results that  $0 < m \le \Phi(A\sigma_2B) \le M$ . Since  $1 < \alpha \le 2$ , one can easily prove that

$$\Phi^{\alpha}(A\sigma_2 B) + M^{\alpha}m^{\alpha}\Phi^{-\alpha}(A\sigma_2 B) \le M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha}.$$
(2.10)

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \left\| M^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} (A\sigma_{2}B) \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (B\sigma_{1}A) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K^{-\frac{p}{4}} (h) M^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (B\sigma_{1}A) + K^{\frac{p}{4}} (h) \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} (A\sigma_{2}B) \right\|^{2} (\text{ by Lemma 2.3(i) }) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| (K^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} (h) M^{\alpha} m^{\alpha} \Phi^{-\alpha} (B\sigma_{1}A) + K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (h) \Phi^{\alpha} (A\sigma_{2}B) ) \right\|^{\frac{p}{2\alpha}} \right\|^{2} (\text{ by Lemma 2.3(ii) }) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| K^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} (h) M^{\alpha} m^{\alpha} \Phi^{-\alpha} (B\sigma_{1}A) + K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (h) \Phi^{\alpha} (A\sigma_{2}B) \right\|^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (h) M^{\alpha} m^{\alpha} \Phi^{-\alpha} (A\sigma_{2}B) + K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (h) \Phi^{\alpha} (A\sigma_{2}B) \right\|^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} (\text{ by (2.9)}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} K^{\frac{p}{2}} (h) (M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} (\text{ by (2.10)}), \end{split}$$

that is

$$\left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}(A\sigma_{2}B)\Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}(B\sigma_{1}A)\right\| \leq \frac{K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h)(M^{\alpha}+m^{\alpha})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}}}{4M^{\frac{p}{2}}m^{\frac{p}{2}}},$$

or equivalently

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) \le \frac{\left(K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h)(M^{\alpha}+m^{\alpha})\right)^{\frac{2p}{\alpha}}}{16Mm} \Phi^p(B\sigma_1 A).$$

*Remark* 2.15. In special case, for  $\alpha = 2$ , inequality (2.8) becomes inequality (2.6).

*Remark* 2.16. By taking  $\sigma = \nabla$  in inequality (2.8), we get inequality (1.8).

**Theorem 2.17.** Let  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$  such that 0 < m < M and  $\sigma$  be an arbitrary mean. Then for every positive unital linear map  $\Phi$  and two arbitrary means  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  which lie between  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^{\perp}$  and  $p \geq 0$ , the following inequality holds

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A) + \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A)\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) \le 2K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma_1 A)$$
(2.11)

where  $\sigma^{\perp}$  is dual  $\sigma$  and  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the Kantorovich constant.

*Proof.* It follows from (2.5) that

$$\|\Phi^{p}(A\sigma_{2}B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_{1}A)\| \le K^{p}(h).$$
(2.12)

Applying Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} K(h)^{p}I & \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_{1}A)\Phi^{p}(A\sigma_{2}B) \\ \Phi^{p}(A\sigma_{2}B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_{1}A) & K(h)^{p}I \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} K(h)^{p}I & \Phi^{p}(A\sigma_{2}B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_{1}A) \\ \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_{1}A)\Phi^{p}(A\sigma_{2}B) & K(h)^{p}I \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Summing up two above inequalities, we obtain the following inequality

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2K(h)^p I & \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 & 2K(h)^p I \end{array}\right) \ge 0,$$

where

$$\beta_1 = \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A)\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) + \Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A)$$

and

$$\beta_2 = \Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A) + \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A)\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B).$$

Again using Lemma 2.4 we get the desired result.

*Remark* 2.18. Put  $\sigma = \nabla$ , inequality (2.11) reduces to some results in [2]

#### 3. A refined inequality for arithmetic-geometric mean

Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathscr{H})$  be two invertible positive operators,  $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$  and  $-1 \leq q \leq 1$ . We use from the notation  $A \sharp_{q,\nu} B$  to define the power mean

$$A\sharp_{q,\nu}B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (1-\nu)I + \nu \left(A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For more information see [10]. The authors in [11] proved that if  $0 < m \le A, B \le M$ such that 0 < m < M and  $0 < \nu \le \mu < 1, -1 \le q \le 1$ . Then for every positive unital linear map  $\Phi$  and  $p \ge 0$ , the following inequality holds

$$\Phi^{p}\left(A\nabla_{\nu}B + \frac{\nu}{\mu}Mm\left(A^{-1}\nabla_{\mu}B^{-1} - A^{-1}\sharp_{q,\mu}B^{-1}\right)\right)$$
  
$$\leq K^{p}(h)\Phi^{p}(A\sharp_{q,\nu}B), \qquad (3.1)$$

where  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the Kantorovich constant.

Using the following theorem, we obtain a generalization from inequality (3.1).

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that  $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$  such that 0 < m < M and  $0 < \nu \leq \mu < 1, -1 \leq q \leq 1$  and  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ . Then for every positive unital linear map  $\Phi$  and  $p \geq 0$ , the following inequality holds

$$\Phi^{p}\left(A\nabla_{\nu}B + \frac{\nu}{\mu}Mm(A^{-1}\nabla_{\nu}B^{-1} - A^{-1}\sharp_{q,\mu}B^{-1})\right) \leq \frac{\left(K^{\frac{\alpha}{4}}(h)(M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha})\right)^{\frac{2p}{\alpha}}}{16M^{p}m^{p}}\Phi^{p}(A\sharp_{q,\nu}B),$$
(3.2)

where  $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$  is the Kantorovich constant.

*Proof.* For  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ , by inequality (3.1), we have

$$\Phi^{\alpha}\left(A\nabla_{\nu}B + \frac{\nu}{\mu}Mm\left(A^{-1}\nabla_{\mu}B^{-1} - A^{-1}\sharp_{q,\mu}B^{-1}\right)\right) \leq K^{\alpha}(h)\Phi^{\alpha}(A\sharp_{q,\nu}B)$$
(3.3)

The last inequality deduces using a process similar to inequality (2.10). This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left( A \nabla_{\nu} B + \frac{\nu}{\mu} M m \left( A^{-1} \nabla_{\mu} B^{-1} - A^{-1} \sharp_{q,\mu} B^{-1} \right) \right) \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (A \sharp_{q,\nu} B) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{K^{\frac{p}{2}} (h) (M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}}}{4M^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\Phi^p \left( A \nabla_{\nu} B + \frac{\nu}{\mu} Mm \left( A^{-1} \nabla_{\mu} B^{-1} - A^{-1} \sharp_{q,\mu} B^{-1} \right) \right)$$
  
$$\leq \frac{\left( K^{\frac{\alpha}{4}}(h) (M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha}) \right)^{\frac{2p}{\alpha}}}{16 M^p m^p} \Phi^p (A \sharp_{q,\nu} B).$$

*Remark* 3.2. Taking  $\alpha = 2$ , inequality (3.2) becomes inequality (3.1).

Remark 3.3. By putting  $\alpha = 2, \mu = \frac{1}{2}$  and taking  $q \to 0$ , inequality (3.2) collapse to the derived result in [2].

Acknowledgement. The first author would like to thank the Lorestan University and the second author would like to thank the Tusi Mathematical Research Group (TMRG).

#### References

- T. Ando and X. Zhan, Norm inequalities related to operator monoton functions, Math. Ann., 1999; 315: 771-780.
- M. Bakherad, Refinements of a reversed AM-GM operator inequality, Linear Multilinear Algebra 64 (2016), no. 9, 1687–1695.
- 3. R. Bhatia, Positive Definite Matrices, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2007.
- R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, Notes on matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities, Linear Algebra Appl. 308 (2000), no. 1-3, 203–211.
- X. Fu and DT. Hoa, On some inequalities with matrix means, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015), no. 12, 2373-2378.
- X. Fu and C. He, Some operator inequalities for positive linear maps, Linear Multilinear Algebra 63 (2015), no. 3, 571–577.

- DT. Hoa, DTH. Binh and HM. Toan, On some inequalities with matrix means, RIMS Kokyukoku. 2014, 1893(05): 67-71, Kyoto.
- RA. Horn and CR. Johson, *Topics in matrix analysis*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1991.
- 9. F. Kubo and T. Ando, Means of positive linear operators, Math. Ann. 246 (1980), 205–224.
- M. Khosravi, Some matrix inequalities for weighted power mean, Ann. Funct. Anal., 2016, 7(2): 348-357.
- M. Khosravi, M.S. Moslehian, and A. Sheikhhosseini, Some operator inequalities involving operator means and positive linear maps, Linear Multilinear Algebra 66 (2018), no. 6, 1186– 1198.
- 12. M. Lin, Squaring a reverse AM-GM inequality, Studia Math. 215 (2013), no. 2, 187–194.
- 13. L. Nasiri and M. Bakherad, Improvements of some operator inequalities involving positive linear maps via the Kantorovich constant, Houston J. Math. (to appear).
- 14. L. Nasiri and W. Liao, *The new reverses of Young type inequalities for numbers, matrices and operators*, Oper. Matrices, (to appear).
- J. Pečarić, T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot and Y. Seo, Mond Pečarić method in operator inequalities, Zagreb, 2005.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, LORESTAN UNIVERSITY, KHORRAMABAD, IRAN.

*E-mail address*: leilanasiri468@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran.

E-mail address: mojtaba.bakherad@yahoo.com; bakherad@member.ams.org