

RESEARCH

Open Access

# Operator $P$ -class functions

Mojtaba Bakherad<sup>1</sup>, Hassane Abbas<sup>2</sup>, Bassam Mourad<sup>2\*</sup> and Mohammad Sal Moslehian<sup>3</sup>

\*Correspondence:

bmourad@ul.edu.lb

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Sciences, Lebanese  
University, Hadath, Beirut, Lebanon  
Full list of author information is  
available at the end of the article

## Abstract

We introduce and investigate the notion of an operator  $P$ -class function. We show that every nonnegative operator convex function is of operator  $P$ -class, but the converse is not true in general. We present some Jensen type operator inequalities involving  $P$ -class functions and some Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for operator  $P$ -class functions.

**MSC:** 47A63; 47A60; 26D15

**Keywords:**  $P$ -class function; Jensen operator inequality; positive linear map; Hermite-Hadamard inequality

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  denote the  $C^*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with its identity denoted by  $I$ . When  $\dim \mathcal{H} = n$ , we identify  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  with the matrix algebra  $\mathbb{M}_n$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . We denote by  $\sigma(J)$  the set of all self-adjoint operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  whose spectra are contained in an interval  $J$ . An operator  $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is called positive (positive semidefinite for a matrix) if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and in such a case we write  $A \geq 0$ . For self-adjoint operators  $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , we write  $B \geq A$  if  $B - A \geq 0$ . The Gelfand map  $f \mapsto f(A)$  is an isometrical  $*$ -isomorphism between the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\sigma(A))$  of a complex-valued continuous functions on the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of a self-adjoint operator  $A$  and the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $I$  and  $A$ . If  $f, g \in C(\sigma(A))$ , then  $f(t) \geq g(t)$  ( $t \in \sigma(A)$ ) implies that  $f(A) \geq g(A)$ . A real-valued continuous function  $f$  on an interval  $J$  is called operator increasing (operator decreasing, resp.) if  $A \leq B$  implies  $f(A) \leq f(B)$  ( $f(B) \leq f(A)$ , resp.) for all  $A, B \in \sigma(J)$ . We recall that a real-valued continuous function  $f$  defined on an interval  $J$  is operator convex if  $f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B)$  for all  $A, B \in \sigma(J)$  and all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

A function  $f: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of  $P$ -class on  $J$  or is a  $P$ -class function on  $J$  if

$$f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq f(x) + f(y), \quad (1)$$

where  $x, y \in J$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ; see [1]. Many properties of  $P$ -class functions can be found in [1–4]. Note that the set of all  $P$ -class functions contains all convex functions and also all nonnegative monotone functions. Every non-zero  $P$ -class function is nonnegative valued. In fact, choose  $\lambda = 1$  and fix  $x_0 \in J$ . It follows from (1) that

$$f(x_0) \leq f(x_0) + f(y),$$

where  $y \in J$ . Thus  $0 \leq f(y)$  for all  $y \in J$ .

For a  $P$ -class function  $f$  on an interval  $[a, b]$ ,

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(ta + (1-t)b) dt \leq 2(f(a) + f(b)),$$

which is known as the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for the  $P$ -class continuous functions; see [3].

In this paper, we introduce and investigate the notion of an operator  $P$ -class function and give several examples. We show that if  $f$  is a  $P$ -class function on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0$ , then it is operator decreasing. We also prove that if  $f$  is an operator  $P$ -class function on an interval  $J$ , then

$$f(C^*AC) \leq 2C^*f(A)C,$$

where  $A \in \sigma(J)$  and  $C \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is an isometry. In addition, we present a Hermite-Hadamard inequality for operator  $P$ -class functions.

## 2 Operator $P$ -class functions

In this section, we investigate operator  $P$ -class functions and study some relations between the operator  $P$ -class functions and the operator monotone functions.

We start our work with the following definition.

**Definition 1** Let  $f$  be a real-valued continuous function defined on an interval  $J$ . We say that  $f$  is of operator  $P$ -class on  $J$  if

$$f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \leq f(A) + f(B)$$

for all  $A, B \in \sigma(J)$  and all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

Clearly every nonnegative operator convex function is of operator  $P$ -class.

**Example 1** Let  $f(t) = t^{-r}$  ( $0 \leq r \leq 1$ ) be defined on  $(1, \infty)$ . It follows from the operator concavity of  $t^r$  ( $0 \leq r \leq 1$ ) [5] and the arithmetic-harmonic mean inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B)^{-r} &\leq (\lambda A^r + (1-\lambda)B^r)^{-1} \quad (\text{by the concavity of } t^r) \\ &\leq \lambda A^{-r} + (1-\lambda)B^{-r} \quad (\text{by the arithmetic-harmonic mean inequality}) \\ &\leq A^{-r} + B^{-r}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $A, B \in \sigma((1, \infty))$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Thus  $f$  is an operator  $P$ -class function on  $(1, \infty)$ .

In addition, every operator  $P$ -class  $f$  on an interval  $J$  is of operator  $Q$ -class in the sense that

$$f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \leq \frac{f(A)}{\lambda} + \frac{f(B)}{1-\lambda}$$

for all  $A, B \in \sigma(J)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ; see [6]. In the next example, we show the converse is not true, in general.

**Example 2** The function  $f(t) = 4 - t^2$  defined on  $[-\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3}]$  is of operator  $Q$ -class; see [7, Example 2.1]. We put  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \not\leq f(A) + f(B) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{14}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{14}{4} \end{pmatrix}$ . Hence  $f$  is not of operator  $P$ -class.

**Example 3** Let  $\alpha > 0$  and  $f$  be a continuous function on the interval  $[\alpha, 2\alpha]$  into itself. It follows from

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \leq 2\alpha \leq f(A) + f(B) \quad (A, B \in \sigma([\alpha, 2\alpha]), \lambda \in [0, 1])$$

that  $f$  is of operator  $P$ -class on  $[\alpha, 2\alpha]$ .

**Example 4** Let  $g$  be a nonnegative continuous function on an interval  $[a, b]$  and  $\alpha = \sup_{x, y \in [a, b], t \in [x, y]} |g(t) - g(x) - g(y)|$ . We put  $f(t) = g(t) + \alpha$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) &= g(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) + \alpha \\ &\leq (g(A) + \alpha) + (g(B) + \alpha) = f(A) + f(B), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A, B \in \sigma([a, b])$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Hence  $f$  is an operator  $P$ -class function.

Next, we explore some relations between operator  $P$ -class functions and operator monotone functions. In fact, we have the following.

**Theorem 1** *If  $f$  is an operator  $P$ -class function on the interval  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0$ , then  $f$  is operator decreasing.*

*Proof* Let  $0 < A \leq B$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We put  $C = B - A + \varepsilon$ . Let  $\theta > 0$ . It follows from  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0$  that there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $f(t) \leq \theta$  for all  $t \geq M$ . We may assume that the spectrum of the strictly positive operator  $C$  is contained in  $[\alpha, \beta]$  for some  $0 < \alpha < \beta$ . It follows from  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} = \infty$  that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \geq \frac{M}{\alpha}$  for all  $\lambda \in (1 - \delta, 1)$ . Hence  $\sigma(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}C) \subseteq [M, \infty)$  for all  $\lambda \in (1 - \delta, 1)$ . Now, by the functional calculus for the positive operator  $\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}C$ , we have  $f(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}C) \leq \theta$  for all  $\lambda \in (1 - \delta, 1)$ . Thus  $\langle f(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}C)x, x \rangle \leq \theta \|x\|^2$  for all  $\lambda \in (1 - \delta, 1)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . Since  $\lambda(B + \varepsilon) = \lambda A + (1 - \lambda)(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}C)$  and  $f$  is  $P$ -class we have

$$f(\lambda(B + \varepsilon)) \leq f(A) + f\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)C\right)$$

for all  $\lambda \in (1 - \delta, 1)$ . Hence

$$\langle f(\lambda(B + \varepsilon))x, x \rangle \leq \langle f(A)x, x \rangle + \left\langle f\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda}C\right)x, x \right\rangle \leq \langle f(A)x, x \rangle + \theta \|x\|^2,$$

where  $\lambda \in (1 - \delta, 1)$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . As  $\lambda \rightarrow 1^-$  and then  $\theta \rightarrow 0^+$  we obtain  $\langle f(B + \varepsilon)x, x \rangle \leq \langle f(A)x, x \rangle$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . As  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , we conclude that  $f(B) \leq f(A)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Jensen operator inequality for operator $P$ -class functions

In this section, we present a Jensen operator inequality for operator  $P$ -class functions. We start with the following result in which we utilized the well-known technique of [8].

**Theorem 2** Let  $f$  be an operator  $P$ -class function on an interval  $J$ ,  $A \in \sigma(J)$ , and  $C \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be an isometry. Then

$$f(C^*AC) \leq 2C^*f(A)C. \tag{2}$$

*Proof* Let  $X = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$  for some  $B \in \sigma(J)$  and let  $U = \begin{pmatrix} C & D \\ 0 & -C^* \end{pmatrix}$  and  $V = \begin{pmatrix} C & -D \\ 0 & C^* \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $D = \sqrt{1_{\mathcal{H}} - CC^*}$ . Now we can easily conclude from the two facts  $C^*D = \sqrt{1_{\mathcal{H}} - CC^*}C = 0$  and  $DC = C\sqrt{1_{\mathcal{H}} - C^*C} = 0$  that  $U$  and  $V$  are unitary operators in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$ . Further,

$$U^*XU = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & C^*AD \\ DAC & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$V^*XV = \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & -C^*AD \\ -DAC & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the operator  $P$ -class property of  $f$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} f(C^*AC) & 0 \\ 0 & f(DAD + CBC^*) \end{pmatrix} &= f \begin{pmatrix} C^*AC & 0 \\ 0 & DAD + CBC^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= f \left( \frac{U^*XU + V^*XV}{2} \right) \\ &\leq f(U^*XU) + f(V^*XV) \\ &= 2 \begin{pmatrix} C^*f(A)C & 0 \\ 0 & Df(A)D + Cf(B)C^* \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$f(C^*AC) \leq 2C^*f(A)C. \quad \square$$

Applying Theorem 2 we have some inequalities including the subadditivity.

**Corollary 1** Let  $f$  be operator  $P$ -class on an interval  $J$ ,  $A_j \in \sigma(J)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), and  $C_j \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), where  $\sum_{j=1}^n C_j^*C_j = 1$ . Then

$$f \left( \sum_{j=1}^n C_j^*A_jC_j \right) \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^n C_j^*f(A_j)C_j.$$

*Proof* Let

$$\tilde{A} = \tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & A_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}), \quad \tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ \vdots \\ C_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}).$$

It follows from  $\tilde{C}^* \tilde{C} = 1$  and (2) that

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n C_j^* A_j C_j\right) = f(\tilde{C}^* \tilde{A} \tilde{C}) \leq 2\tilde{C}^* f(\tilde{A}) \tilde{C} = 2\sum_{j=1}^n C_j^* f(A_j) C_j. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2** Let  $f$  be operator  $P$ -class on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $A \in \sigma([0, \infty))$ , and  $C \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a contraction. Then

$$f(C^* A C) \leq 2C^* f(A) C.$$

*Proof* For every contraction  $C \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , we put  $D = \sqrt{1_{\mathcal{H}} - C^* C}$ . It follows from  $C^* C + D^* D = 1_{\mathcal{H}}$  and (2) that

$$f(C^* A C) = f(C^* A C + D^* 0 D) \leq 2f(C^* A C) + 2f(D^* 0 D) = 2C^* f(A) C. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 3** Let  $f$  be operator  $P$ -class on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $A, B \in \sigma((0, \infty))$  such that  $A \leq B$ . Then

$$A^{-1} f(A) \leq 2B^{-1} f(B).$$

*Proof* Let  $A, B \in \sigma((0, \infty))$  such that  $0 < A \leq B$ . We put  $C = B^{-1/2} A^{1/2}$ . Then  $CC^* = B^{-1/2} A B^{-1/2} \leq 1_{\mathcal{H}}$ , so  $C$  is a contraction. It follows from (2) that

$$f(A) = f(C^* B C) \leq 2C^* f(B) C = 2A^{1/2} B^{-1/2} f(B) B^{-1/2} A^{1/2}.$$

Therefore

$$A^{-1} f(A) \leq 2B^{-1} f(B). \quad \square$$

In the following theorem, we obtain the Choi-Davis-Jensen type inequality for operator  $P$ -class functions.

**Theorem 3** Let  $\Phi$  be a unital positive linear map on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $A \in \sigma(J)$  and  $f$  be operator  $P$ -class on an interval  $J$ . Then

$$f(\Phi(A)) \leq 2\Phi(f(A)). \quad (3)$$

*Proof* Let  $A \in \sigma(J)$ . We put  $\Psi$  the restriction of  $\Phi$  to the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(A, I)$  generated by  $I$  and  $A$ . Then  $\Psi$  is a unital completely positive map on  $C^*(A, I)$ . The celebrated Stinespring dilation theorem [9, Theorem 1] states that there exist an isometry  $V : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : C^*(A, I) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\Psi(A) = V^* \pi(A) V$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Phi(A)) &= f(\Psi(A)) = f(V^* \pi(A) V) \leq 2V^* f(\pi(A)) V \quad (\text{by (2)}) \\ &= 2V^* \pi(f(A)) V = 2\Psi(f(A)) = 2\Phi(f(A)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We will show that the constant 2 is the best possible such one in the following example.

**Example 5** Let  $f(t) = 2 - t^2$  for  $t \in [-1, 1]$ . Then  $1 \leq f(t) \leq 2$  and

$$f(\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) = 2 - (\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B)^2 \leq 2 \leq 2 - A^2 + 2 - B^2 = f(A) + f(B),$$

where  $A, B \in \sigma([-1, 1])$ . Hence  $f$  is of operator  $P$ -class on  $[-1, 1]$ . Now, consider that the unital positive map  $\Phi : \mathbb{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_2$  is defined by  $\Phi(A) = \frac{\text{tr}(A)}{2}I$ . Then for the Hermitian matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  we have  $\Phi(A) = 0$ ,  $f(\Phi(A)) = 2$ ,  $f(A) = I$ , and  $\Phi(f(A)) = I$ . Therefore  $f(\Phi(A)) = 2\Phi(f(A))$ . This shows that the coefficient 2 in (2) and (3) is the best.

**Example 6** Consider (the nonnegative increasing function and so)  $P$ -class function  $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$  where  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Let the unital positive map  $\Psi : \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined by  $\Psi(A) = a_{22}$  with  $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$  and let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\Psi(f(A)) = 1$  and  $f(\Psi(A)) = \sqrt{8}$ . Hence  $f(\Psi(A)) \not\leq 2\Psi(f(A))$ . It follows from (3) that  $f$  is not of operator  $P$ -class.

We present a Hermite-Hadamard inequality for operator  $P$ -class functions in the next theorem.

**Theorem 4** Let  $\Phi$  be a unital positive linear map on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $f$  be operator  $P$ -class on  $J$ . Then

$$f\left(\frac{\Phi(A) + \Phi(B)}{2}\right) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(\lambda\Phi(A) + (1 - \lambda)\Phi(B)) d\lambda \leq 4(\Phi(f(A)) + \Phi(f(B))),$$

where  $A, B \in \sigma(J)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof* Let  $A, B \in \sigma(J)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{\Phi(A) + \Phi(B)}{2}\right) &= f\left(\frac{\lambda\Phi(A) + (1 - \lambda)\Phi(B) + (1 - \lambda)\Phi(A) + \lambda\Phi(B)}{2}\right) \\ &\leq f(\lambda\Phi(A) + (1 - \lambda)\Phi(B)) + f((1 - \lambda)\Phi(A) + \lambda\Phi(B)) \\ &\leq 2(f(\Phi(A)) + f(\Phi(B))). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Integrating both sides of (4) over  $[0, 1]$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{\Phi(A) + \Phi(B)}{2}\right) &\leq \int_0^1 f(\lambda\Phi(A) + (1 - \lambda)\Phi(B)) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 f((1 - \lambda)\Phi(A) + \lambda\Phi(B)) d\lambda \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 f(\lambda\Phi(A) + (1 - \lambda)\Phi(B)) d\lambda \\ &\leq 2(f(\Phi(A)) + f(\Phi(B))) \\ &\leq 4(\Phi(f(A)) + \Phi(f(B))) \quad (\text{by (3)}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

#### 4 Some inequalities for $P$ -class functions involving continuous operator fields

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra of operators acting on a Hilbert space and let  $T$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. A field  $(A_t)_{t \in T}$  of operators in  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a continuous field of operators

if the mapping  $t \mapsto A_t$  is norm continuous on  $T$ . If  $\mu(t)$  is a Radon measure on  $T$  and the function  $t \mapsto \|A_t\|$  is integrable, one can form the Bochner integral  $\int_T A_t d\mu(t)$ , which is the unique element in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\varphi\left(\int_T A_t d\mu(t)\right) = \int_T \varphi(A_t) d\mu(t)$$

for every linear functional  $\varphi$  in the norm dual  $\mathcal{A}^*$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}(T, \mathcal{A})$  denote the set of bounded continuous functions on  $T$  with values in  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see that the set  $\mathcal{C}(T, \mathcal{A})$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra under the pointwise operations and the norm  $\|(A_t)_{t \in T}\| = \sup_{t \in T} \|A_t\|$ ; cf. [10].

Assume that there is a field  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  of positive linear mappings  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to another  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . We say that such a field is continuous if the mapping  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(A)$  is continuous for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . If the  $C^*$ -algebras are unital and the field  $t \mapsto \Phi_t(I)$  is integrable with integral  $I$ , we say that  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  is unital; see [10].

**Theorem 5** *Let  $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an operator  $P$ -class function defined on an interval  $J$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. If  $(\Phi_t)_{t \in T}$  is a unital field of positive linear mappings  $\Phi_t : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  defined on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $T$  with a bounded Radon measure  $\mu$ , then*

$$f\left(\int_T \Phi_t(A_t) d\mu(t)\right) \leq 2 \int_T \Phi_t(f(A_t)) d\mu(t)$$

*holds for every bounded continuous field  $(A_t)_{t \in T}$  of self-adjoint elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  with spectra contained in  $J$ .*

*Proof* We consider the unital positive linear map  $\Psi : \mathcal{C}(T, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  defined by  $\Psi((A_t)_{t \in T}) = \int_T \Phi_t(A_t) d\mu(t)$ . Let  $\tilde{A} = (A_t)_{t \in T} \in \mathcal{C}(T, \mathcal{A})$ . It follows from  $\sigma(\tilde{A}) \subseteq J$  and (3) that

$$f(\Psi((A_t)_{t \in T})) = f(\Psi(\tilde{A})) \leq 2\Psi(f(\tilde{A})) = 2\Psi(f((A_t)_{t \in T})) = 2\Psi((f(A_t))_{t \in T}). \quad \square$$

In the discrete case,  $T = \{1, \dots, n\}$  in Theorem 5, we get the following result.

**Corollary 4** *Let  $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an operator  $P$ -class function defined on an interval  $J$ , let  $A_j \in \sigma(J)$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) and  $\Phi_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) be unital positive linear maps on  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then*

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_j(A_j)\right) \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \Phi_j(f(A_j)).$$

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

The authors contributed equally to the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, P.O. Box 98135-674, Zahedan, Iran. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Lebanese University, Hadath, Beirut, Lebanon. <sup>3</sup>Department of Pure Mathematics, Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures (CEAA), Ferdowsi University, Mashhad, Iran.

#### Acknowledgements

The second and the third authors are supported by the Lebanese University grants program for the Discrete Mathematics and Algebra group.

Received: 27 May 2014 Accepted: 23 October 2014 Published: 06 Nov 2014

#### References

1. Dragomir, SS, Pečarić, J, Persson, LE: Some inequalities of Hadamard type. *Soochow J. Math.* **21**(3), 335-341 (1995)
2. Dragomir, SS, Pearce, CEM: Quasi-convex functions and Hadamard's inequality. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **57**(3), 377-385 (1998)
3. Pearce, CEM:  $P$ -Functions, quasi-convex functions, and Hadamard-type inequalities. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **240**, 92-104 (1999)
4. Sarikaya, MZ, Set, E, Ozdemir, ME: On some new inequalities of Hadamard type involving  $h$ -convex functions. *Acta Math. Univ. Comen.* **2**, 265-272 (2010)
5. Pečarić, JE, Furuta, T, Mičić Hot, J, Seo, Y: *Mond Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*. ELEMENT, Zagreb (2005)
6. Fujii, JI, Kian, M, Moslehian, MS: Operator  $Q$ -class functions. *Sci. Math. Jpn.* **73**(1), 75-80 (2010)
7. Moslehian, MS, Kian, M: Jensen type inequalities for  $Q$ -class functions. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **85**(1), 128-142 (2012)
8. Hansen, F, Pedersen, GK: Jensen's inequality for operators and Löwner's theorem. *Math. Ann.* **258**(3), 229-241 (1982)
9. Stinespring, WF: Positive functions on  $C^*$ -algebras. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **6**, 211-216 (1955)
10. Hansen, F, Perić, I, Pečarić, J: Jensen's operator inequality and its converses. *Math. Scand.* **100**(1), 61-73 (2007)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-451

Cite this article as: Bakherad et al.: Operator  $P$ -class functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, **2014**:451

Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at ► [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)